

PUBLIC HEARING FOR FIRST RATING







PURPOSE

- Originated by SB218 of the 77th Texas Legislature in 2001.
- Expands the public education accountability system in Texas to the Financial Services.
- Primary goal to improve management of school district's financial resources.



OBJECTIVES

- Assess the quality of financial management in Texas public schools.
- Fairly evaluate the quality of financial management decisions.
- Openly report results to the general public.
- Based on 2020-2021 Data



RATINGS

Scores based on summation of points on 20 indicators: Maximum of 100 points:

Superior Achievement 90-100

Above Standard Achievement 80-89

Meets Standard 60-69

Substandard Achievement <60</p>

(No points awarded on several questions. The score is determined by Yes or No)



1. Was the annual financial report filed within one month after the November 27th or January 28th deadline depending upon the district's Fiscal Year end date (June 30th or August 31st)?

Yes

2. Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole?

Yes



3. Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end?

Yes

4. Did the school district make timely payments to the Teaches Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?
Yes



6. Was the average change in fund balances over 3 years less than a 25% decrease or did the current year's assigned and unassigned fund balance exceed 75 days of operational expenditures?

Yes - Ceiling Passed

2020-2021 Total Expenditures

\$48,016,921

Less: 2020-2021 Capital Outlay

-\$ 356,266

\$47,660,655 / 365 Days * 75 Days = \$9,793,285

\$95,912,722 > \$9,793,285



7. Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures?

Yes – 10 points

Cash, Equivalents, Investments

Total Expenditures

Percent * 365 Days

\$91,442,419

\$47,660,655

700 Days >= 90



8. Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt?

Yes – 10 points

Current Assets Current Liabilities

\$210,233,030 \$25,043,861

8.394 > 3.00



9. Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?

Yes – 10 points

```
      Cash and Equivalents
      $91,442,419

      / Total Expenditures
      $48,016,921

      X 365 Days
      =
      700 Days
```

700 Days >= 60 Days



11. Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency?

Yes – 10 points

Long Term Liabilities /Total Assets

\$217,210,168 \$403,173,166

0.5388 < = 0.60



12. Was the debt per \$100 of assessed property value ratio sufficient to support future debt repayments?

Yes – 8 points

Total Local Intermediate Sources \$ 24,217,081

/ Total Revenue \$ 24,244,229

x Long-Term Liabilities \$217,210,168

x 100

/ Assessed Property Value \$4,554,288,623

4.764 <=4.00



13. Was the administrative cost ratio less than the threshold ratio?

Yes - 10 points

District		State Standa	rd	Points
.1018	<	.1151	=	10***
.1018	<	.1401	=	8
.1018	<	.1651	=	6
.1018	<	.1901	=	4



14. Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (Total enrollment to total staff)?

Yes – 10 points

Total Enrollment 2020-2021 4,720

Total Enrollment 2018-2019 4,673

Less than 15% Decline



16. Did the comparisons of PEIMS data to like information in annual financial report result in an aggregate variance of less than 3 percent of expenditures per fund type (Data Quality Measure)?

Yes - Ceiling Passed

Sum of Differences Total Entries

411_ 48,017,248

0.000% < 3.00%



- 17. Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds?

 Yes Ceiling Passed
- 18. Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instances of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds?

 Yes 10 Points



19. Did the school district post the required financial information on its website in accordance with Government Code, Local Government Code, Texas Education Code, Texas Administrative Code and others statues, laws, and rules that were in effect at the school district's fiscal year?

Yes – 5 Points

20. Did the school board members discuss the district's property values at a board meeting within 120 days before the district adopted its budget?

Yes - Ceiling Passed

HOW DID Gregory-Portland ISD RATE?

Scored 98 out of 100 possible points!



SUPERIOR ACHIEVEMENT



Gregory-Portland Independent School District

